G. R. S. IX-5] 33 P

Previous references.

File No. 22 of 08

1908.

(S. R)

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6

Later references.

Ex. 66-50-51

Ex 74-18.

Ex. 85-23-1-4

Part 2 340-19

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

Part B for November 1916.

SUBJECT.

Claim to the Toddy revenue of the Inam villages in the Chamrajanagar Taluk

---

316

To the Excise Comm and

NOTE 2646-7 & 24.11.16. Comptrol

Recognise the right of the Inamdar to the Toddy Excise

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

A. Janakiram

16-3-17.

G.R.S.11] 1908.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(887)

NOTES.

File No. 22-08

FILE HEADING.

Claim to the Govt revenue of the Manavillages in the Chamarajanagar taluk belonging to Chamarajeswara temple.

From

The Excise Comr

No. 6059 Dated 23/7/08 Received 25/7/08

Diary No. 366 Enclos. 1 file Spare Copies . Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the record of enquiry relative to the claim of the temple to Manathoor & 12 other villages & states that he concurs with the Investigating Officer & the Dy Comr in holding that the claim is established

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The Investigating Officer's letter & the Dy Comrs memo thereon referred to in para 1 of the letter under disposal is not found in the record

2) The Excise Comr has not

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/L File No. 22 of 1908

Serial No.	
	descended & reported whether & under what authority "Aldur was constituted into an independent village.
	3) The further report promised by the Excise Comr in respect of Mudlu Agrahara village may be awaited and the claims of all the villages (4 in number) dealt together and dealt with & draft called for from the papers & information referred to above.
	Issued on 31-7-08
	Noted 30/7/08
2	To the Excise Comr. H. 337 dated 4-8-08 requesting information as above stated and to submit the report promised in para 4 of his letter
3	From the Excise Comr, No: 5364 dated 2.12.08. Explains the circumstances under which "Aldur" was constituted into an independent village & why the right of Excise from toddy of Mudlu Agrahara village will have to be considered separately.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R File No. 22 of 1908-9

Serial No.

4) The case of Nuddu Agrahar village may, as suggested by the Sheristadar, be dealt with separately & disposed of on its own merits for the reasons assigned by the D/bar in his docket No. 385 d/the 21.11.08.

Note to letter under Original.

5) But, if Alduru was constituted into a separate village in the year subsequent to that in which it was alienated owing to a boundary dispute, it should have been dealt with as an independent village at the Inam Settlement. We have on record the Inam Registers of 12 original villages (Nuddu Agrahar excepted) but not of the newly constituted Alduru village.

Draft calling for it subj.

K.S. 15/12/08

Joint Secy 18.12.08

4. To the Excise Comr. Gl. 2775. d 21-12-08.

Enquiries to know whether Alduru was dealt with as an independent village at the Inam settlement of 1879. and, requests to submit the Register connected therewith and to report with regard to the temple accounts whether there is proof of enjoyment of the Toddy Rev. in the case of this village also.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/h File No. 22 of 1908

Serial

From Werqesie Comy
No 959 & 14/7/08
2 orrecord Copy of Tuticorin
by Comrs Letter no 65 & 3/7/08
Reminding the information
Reqd

1) Vummattuen & 12 other villages in the Chamarajnalgan Taluk were alienated in favor of the Chamarajeswara temple in 1828 by the late Highness Krishnaraja Wodeyar.

2) Medur Agraharam village is one of the 13 villages alienated under the same sanad, but it is dealt with separately as it had been previously granted to certain Brahmins on pot tenure.

3) Aldur is a Dakhali village (or hamlet attached to Nanalur & treated as such in survey and Revenue records thereof) for all practical purposes it has been treated as one of the 13 alienated villages from the very next year of the grant.

4) H. H. sanctioned a Cadik of

S/h 31-08. linked with this.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22 of 1908,

Serial No.

of 4900 Varahas & 4 Hanas towards the wages of the temple servants & for the festivities &c - in the shape of landed property - comprising the 13 villages referred to. He also directed that any realizations in excess of 4900 Varahas & 4 Hanas may be utilised for the purchase of jewels, vessels &c & for the repairs to the temple. As this revenue has not been expressly alienated to the temple but the Huzur Sheristadar Col. Robertson Cole has satisfied himself on perusing the Huzur Sarishtah many year accounts of 1838 that "tody" was included in the leasing of Vennattoor village and allowed to the temple. In the case of other villages also he (Head Sheristadar) referred to his orders in the case of Vennattoor. Thus it will be seen that the Inam Settlement has conceded the tody excise revenue in respect of all the villages.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

8/2 File No. 22 of 1908

Serial No.

1) The Investigating Officer, the Deputy Commr & the Excise Commr are all of the same opinion. The Excise Commr also argues that considering the fact that no item was expressly reserved to Govt & considering the nature of the grant, the Govt excise revenue has been conceded by the Samuds. It may however be observed that absence of reservation cannot be tantamount to alienation. ~~The decision of the Privy Council in the case of [illegible]~~ without reference to the actual circumstances of each village the Rajas right has been referred in all Bakeali Cases to his orders on the Asli Case regarding the Concession of Govt revenue & others ~~rights~~ items. This will be clear from the fact that the items enjoyed ~~are not specified~~ at the time of the Rajas settlement are not specified in the Case of Nowalem and "Excise" is not found among the items

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial

ees/oyee ie the Case of Reeedrana Kattelpura & Reddapura.

12) As records eig oyment there has been sufficient proof ie records of all the villages put together & not ie respect of each village separately. There has been some break and it is accounted for by the dee to the destruction of accounts for some years by white ants & illegibility of the details for others &c.

13) If the claim is allowed under rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 9 above to the villages which have both a shop & store each under rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12 to two villages & under rules 2, 5 & 13 to others which have only ~~shop~~ a store each.

14) Aldur was only a hamlet attached to Nanadur and even now it is so recognised but the local authorities seem to treat it as an independent village. If it is considered as one unde-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22 of 1908

Serial No.

village a question arises whether the Hereditary Right to Toddy revenue in respect of the village can be allowed as there is at present no Shedy nor any one entitled to have a Shedy seems to have existed in the village (1853-54 and 1864-65) Submitted for orders

Sd/ [illegible]
Sd/ [illegible]
Sd/ 4/12

16) The Sanad can not be construed as favourable to the Inamdar, as no Express alienation of Shendi has been made. No doubt the Excise Hattivarti is also alienated but having regard to the context, it is very doubtful whether Shendi was really contemplated by the grantors.

17) The Settlement may be taken as conceding the Excise right in respect of all the 12 villages.

18) As regards Enjoyment, the Evidence adduced proves it pretty satisfactorily, though there are

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

frequent breaks. In this connection attention is invited to the Patti prepared by the Amildar which shows that the Temple, as Inamdar, has realised income from Shendi over a long period of years ranging from 1829-30 to 1891-92. The Sudden variation in the total amount realised in some years is probably due to the fact that the arrears of some years were collected in those. Though the proof is thus fairly satisfactory when all the 72 villages are taken together, it is rather difficult to say whether the income was realised every year from all the villages or only some of them. There are no data to arrive at a definite conclusion regarding this fact, though a Patti prepared for the year 1853-54 purports to give details regarding each village and under separate headings of "Shoprent" (ಶೊಪ್ರೆಂಟ್) and "grove-rent" (ಗ್ರೋವ್ರೆಂಟ್). This brings us to the consideration of the fact that of the 12 villages, some contain both a shop and a grove, others contain only a shop and while one village contains only a grove.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Whether, in spite of the proof adduced above, the latter is entitled to the last-mentioned village

Excise revenue is a matter that is before the Consideration of the Dewan in a separate file. Similarly the question whether Rule 12 shd be made applicable to the second class of cases mentioned above is also under Consideration. Orders wd be required on these points if the villages are considered entitled to recognition of their claims. It may be noted here that all the 72 villages are Endowments to the Sri Kaumarajeshwara Temple at Chamrajnagar.

(4) From a Consideration of all the circumstances, it may be held that the Claim has been proved in respect of the villages in which a Shop Exists.

7/12/09

Decision

3881
8.12.09

(5) Considering the circumstances of the Endowment of these Inam villages and the fact

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

of enjoyments of Todry renew
for a long series of year
of which there is satisfact
proof, I am of opinion
that the claim in respe
of all the Inam villages
except endowed to the Sri Chamun
with Agrahara eswara Temple be admit
any defect in dr
which may possibly be
being cured by a pres
Confirmation of this righ
by H H the Maharaja.
The orders passed in the
Case cannot be quote
as precedent in the cas
of other Inam villages.
2) The Investigating Offic
and the Deputy Comm
& Excise Commr are
unanimous in recogniz
the Temple's right to the
Todry renewals in all th
villages already
Conceded at the
Inam settlement 1870

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

3484/09
2.12

GPB—55,000—27-4-0

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 22 of 1908

Serial No.

2) Draft- recommending the right to Subs for order.

5

11 6

(Revised)

15/11/15

3) Re draft- May we add

Yes.

4) Minutes

15/11/15

unless ullimate orders not to include in this

Result Received)

6.11.16

3/11/16.

5) The notes are complete- The late Member has recorded his views- The temple is entitled to the Revenue from the 13 Villages- The draft puts up by permanent Rev Secretary may be approved

2nd Member

7.11

14/11/16

417
14.11.16.

15/11

6) Submitted to 2nd Member

622
16.11

16/11/16

18/11

18/11/16

7) Submitted for information.

8/12/16

P.D.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

SR File No. [illegible] of 1908

Serial No.	
	21) This file was sent to the Dewan for information after issue. The order of the Dewan dt. the 23/1/[illegible] is attached to the note in SR 151-05. This file may be recorded. "Seen" sd MV dt 23/1/[illegible]
6.	To the Excise Commissioner and Comptroller. No 7L 2646-7 dt. 24.11.16. Recognises the right of the Inamdar to the Toddy Excise.

366

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

No. 1059 / 17le

Dated Bangalore, 23rd July 1908.

From

M. Narain Rao, Esquire,
Offg: Excise Commissioner, in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore. General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of the marginally noted villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk together with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Memo No. 65, dated 20th July 1908 forwarding the investigating Officer's letter No. 519, dated 24th June 1908, I have the honor to state that I concur in the opinions recorded both by the investigating Officer and the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy of the aforesaid villages.

1. Umathur.
2. Navalur.
3. Aladur.
4. Yelkuru.
5. Sappayyanapura.
6. Hardarakalli. Handrakanahalli
7. Hanahalli.
8. Bendaravadi.
9. Kattepura.
10. Heggotara.
11. Sivapura.
12. Bedarapura.
13. Muthige.

2. These villages together with Mudlu Agrahar were granted on sarvamanyam tenure by His Highness the late Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur under a Sannad dated 2nd Monday of Ashada Bahula of the year Sarvadhari (1828), to the Chamaraj~~es~~wara temple at Chamraja nagar. The Sannad ~~work~~ [illegible] all items of revenue, any excess that may be derived from any source. No reservation has been made to Government, and the very nature and purpose of the grant are against such reservation. At the Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1879 the item of toddy

of all the villages has been conceded to the Inamdar, the item being included in the beriz account of the villages of the year of alienation. The extracts from the temple accounts filed in the records prove the enjoyment of the toddy revenue of the villages from almost the very first year of the grant, namely, 1829-30. The want of references during some of the years from 1829-30 to 1891-92 does not initiate the claim, as what is required is not proof of enjoyment for every year but only a fair proof of enjoyment during the prescribed period. In these circumstances the decision arrived at by the investigating Officer and the Deputy Commissioner is in my opinion correct.

3. There is a toddy shop as well as a date grove in the marginally noted four villages and they, therefore, fall under rules 2, 3, 5 and 9 of the rules of the 2nd August 1901. As there is only a shop at Navalur and Sivapura the payment is to be made under rules 2, 3, 5 and 12. In the remaining seven villages there are only groves and they come under rules 2, 3, 5 and 13.

1. Umathur.
2. Yalkur.
3. Bendaravadi.
4. Heggotara.

4. The village of Aldur was a hamlet of Navalur at the time of grant but was subsequently constituted into a separate village. Mudlu Agrahar is mentioned in the Sannad as one of the 13 villages therein granted. But its omission in the investigation records has not been accounted for. The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District has been addressed in the matter and on receipt of his reply a report will be submitted to Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L. Venkata

for Offg: Excise Commissioner, in Mysore.

	Investigation Officer's letter	Assistant Settlement Officer's letter	Addl. Assistant Settlement Officer's letter	Assistant Director of Survey & Settlement's letter
1. Ummallur	S & G.	Sent with Report	S & G.	S. G.
2. Navalur	S	not examined	S	S
Aldur	+ +		S + +	S
7. Yelkur	S & G.	S.	S & G.	S G.
9. Sathianapura	G	S	S & G.	S G
10. Handrakalli	G	S	S & G	S G
8. Hanahalli	G	S	S & G.	S G
11. Bendravadi	S & G.	"S" omitted	S & G.	S G
5. Kattepura	G	Do.	G	G
13. Hegegolara	S & G.	S	S & G	S G
6. Sivapura	S.	S	S & G	S G
4. Bedarapura	G	"S" omitted	S & G.	S G
12. Muttige	G	S.	G	S G
3. Mudlu Agrahar				

Referred back to Asstt Supdt on all other points

1908-09

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Nilgiris

Dated 23 November 1908. No 385.

Sir. I have the honor to refer to the marginally noted references from this Office calling for certain information in respect of Mudu Agrahar and Alduru in connection with the enquiry into the claim of Sree Thanjarajeswaraswami temple to the Toddy Excise Revenue of Kunthuru and 12 other villages in the Thanjavur Taluk.

With reference to the above I have the honor to state that from the report of the Tahsildar received on the

---

Ans Docket No 6049 Dated 24.7.08

Do — 170-3 — 6-8-01

Melbourne Coll of the Secretariat letter No 7E 567/S.R.22-08-2 Dated 4.8.1908.

7)

was made an independent Village in the following year of Virodhi (1829-30), the boundary dispute being settled by an arbitration held under orders of the then Amildar by the Temple authorities and certain prominent members of the Village Community.

3. The Connected records obtained from the Taluk accompany as per Index.

Sd/ A Seetharamiah
for Dy Comm^{r}

8j

G.R.S. 26]

Secret 25

File No. 22/08

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 366

No. 7C567
& 4 August '08

General and Revenue Secretariat

(8/4)

the 190

To The Collector
in Mysore

Date of issue 4 August 08

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

With reference
to your letter No 1059,
of 23/7/08 submitting
the record of enquiry
relating to the claim
to the lands granted
Unattur and 12 other
villages in the Channarai
patna Taluk belonging
to the Channarai Sansthan

ver.

SUBJECT.

terrible, I am directed
to ~~decrease~~ forward ~~and~~ ——— report that
that the investigating
officer's letter no 519 G/14/6/08
together with the
connected memorandum
referred to in para 1 of
is not found according
the record

2. Information may be
obtained and ~~forwarded~~ submitted
as to when & under
what authority Adoni
was constituted into
an independent village.

3. The ~~report~~ information called
for from the Dy Comr
of Mysore in respect
of Muddu Agrahara
village may also
be obtained at an early
early date & the ~~report~~ submission of the
promised in para 4
of your letter under report

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet-draft form)

specified so that the claims in respect of all the 4 villages may be settled once for all.

Thanks

for secy

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, dated 2nd December 1908.

From

H.H. Sparkes Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.S. Chendrasekhara Aiyer Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.Fl.567/S.R.22-08-2, dated 4th August 1908 calling for certain additional information regarding the claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of Ummathur and 12 other villages in the Chamarajanagar Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of a docket No. 385, dated 27th November 1908 together with its enclosures in original received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. The docket and the records explain the circumstances under which Aldur was constituted into an independent village and why the right of the Excise revenue from toddy of Mudlu Agrahar village was not included with that of other villages.

2. The records of enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of Sarvamanya Mudlu Agrahar village were separately received and returned for additional information. As the right in the case of this village has to be dealt with separately and does not appear to have any bearing on the decision of the claim in the case of Ummathur and other villages the receipt of the records pertaining to the former village has not been awaited.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

12)

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 22-08
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1901

No 76275
21-12-08

General and Revenue Secretariat
(S.R)
, *the* 190

To

The Sheristadar

Date of issue 21st Dec/08

Signed by W Mackenzie

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No: 5364 dtd 2.12.08, submitting additional information regarding the claim to the Sheist Res: from Issoy of Nunnath & 12 other villages in the Bhamana nagar Taluk, I am directed to enquire whether Aldur was dealt with as an independent village at the Inam Settlement conducted in 1879 & also to request the submission of the register of Inam enquiry connected therewith that it may be seen whether

over.

SUBJECT.

To print
19/12.

13)
at the time of the Inam Settle-
ment Sendi was conceded to /
the temple in respect of this village
also.

It may also be referred from
a refee: to the temple accounts
whether there is proof of enjoyment
of today rev: in the case of this
village also.

The Sheld' ree? with your
letter under refee: are returned
for ~~[illegible]~~ as the report was
called for.

Thanks:

8/12/08
Secretary

K-S.
15/12/08.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—20-4-05.

551 SR 14/

190

From
H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

S.R. 22-08 8no 5

To
K.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq.,
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Genel. and
Rev. Depts.

Dated
24th July 1909.

Despatched

Received

General No. 959
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & 2 files

Subject.

Forwarding a copy of docket No. 65, dated 17-7-09, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, regarding the claim to the Excise -- Revenue from toddy of Aldur village in the Chamrajnagar Taluk.

S.R.22-08-4 (5) 15)

Excise Commissioner's Office,

959 & 1062 files

Dated, Bangalore, 24th July 1909.

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A. B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. Fl.2775/S.R.22-08-4, dated the 21st December 1908, calling for certain additional information in regard to the claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of Alduru village in the Chamrajnagar Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of a docket No.65, dated the 17th Instant, together with its enclosed two files of records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 14th July 1909

Received

General No. 65
No.

Enclosure No. 2 files

R 2775 dy 21.12.08
S.R. 22-08-4

His Office Docket no: 6099 dated the 23rd December 1908, forwarding copy of the marginally noted letter from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, regarding the Claim of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple to the Excise Revenue from lands of Nilmallur and 12 other Villages in the Chamarajnagar Taluk

In resubmitting the records received with the above together with another file of papers connected with the issues raised in the letter cited above, the undersigned, has the honor to state that although Alumm was taken as a "dakli" of Navalur at the Inam settlement conducted in 1879, the

existence of the former as a separate Village has been recognised in so far as separate accounts are given in respect of these two Villages in the Inam Enquiry Register, Vide Column 20 of it's Copy filed in the accompanying records (Ferist no: XIV – III file).

As regards proof of enjoyment of the Toddy revenue of this Village for 12 years preceding 1892-93, the Amildar's report (Ferist no: V – III file) would seem to be conclusive. The Toddy contract from the year 1880-81 onwards was agreed upon with respect to the thirteen Villages including Aldur as a whole and the credit entries in the Temple accounts for this period do not therefore contain any individual references to each of these Villages. But the records relating to earlier years prove it beyond a doubt that this Village of Aldur also formed a distinct source of Toddy Inamie Revenue to the Temple. Vide Sendi Hittinbali Goshwara for the year 1853-54 and the Abkari Contractors Mutchalika dated 10th June 1864 (Ferist nos: VI & VII of III file).

(Sd) H. Seetharamanja
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

'Copy'

Rhamanna
for Inam Commissioner

Recognises the right of the Inamdar to the toddy excise

181

28/11

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 22-08

Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to 331

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(8P)

the 191 .

Inamdar under Bond

Date of issue 24-11-08

Signed by M Shamiah

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No 959 dt. 24/7/1909 from the Excise Commr in Report regarding the claim to the excise revenue from toddy of the marginally noted villages in the Chamarajanagar Tq, Mysore Dt.

ORDER THEREON.—No. H 2646-7 dt 24 Nov/08

Though no express mention of toddy revenue is made in the Sanad alienating the villages it is included in the term Patte

over.

1. Honalluru
2. Navalur
3. Alur
4. Yelkuru
5. Sappajanapura.
6. Handrakanahalli
7. Hanahalli
8. Bendravadi
9. Kattepura
10. Heggotara
11. Sivapura
12. Bedarapura
13. Muttuge.

Encl 2 with Drafts Regs & 3 forms

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

22/11

the Inam Settlement has conceded this item of revenue in all the villages and enjoyment thereof ~~has~~ for a long ~~series of~~ years has been conclusively proved. Taking into account the circumstances of endowment of all these villages and the facts mentioned above, Govt hold that the right of the Chammaravan Temple to the excise revenue from toddy is established.

15.11

18/11/15

Rev Secy to Govt

14/11/16

No. 15/11/15

To

The Excise Commr with Sysne records.

The Comptroller to Govt

5/4/17